[Counsel listed on signature page.] 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 5 IN RE DITROPAN XL ANTITRUST MDL No. 1761 (JSW) 6 LITIGATION 7 8 AMENDED STIPULATION AND PROPOSED 9 This Document Relates to: ORDER AMENDING CASE ANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 TO 10 **ALL ACTIONS EXTEND CASE SCHEDULE** 11 Hon. Jeffrey S. White 12 13 STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER 14 On October 27, 2006, the Court held an initial case management conference 1. 15 pursuant to Local Rule 16-10(a) and Federal Rule 16. As a result of that conference, the Court 16 entered Stipulated Case Management Order ("CMO") No. 2 on November 1, 2006. 17 On November 3, 2006, the Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss Direct 2. 18 Purchaser Action On Standing Grounds, Or, In The Alternative, To Compel Arbitration, and 19 their Motion To Partially Dismiss The Direct And Indirect Purchaser Actions. On December 22, 20 2006, the Direct Purchaser and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs filed separate briefs in response to 21 the Defendants' motions to dismiss, and on January 19, 2007, the Defendants filed their reply 22 memorandums. On February 9, 2007, the Court heard oral arguments on the Defendants' 23 motions to dismiss. As of the drafting of this Stipulation, the Court has not ruled on either of the 24 Defendants' motions to dismiss. 25 CMO No. 2 requires the Plaintiffs to file their motions for class certification on or 3. 26 before April 27, 2007. 27 /// 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 TO

MDL No. 1761 (JSW)

EXTEND CASE SCHEDULE

Cassaske:03696x40d1765176\$\$WSWDdoornemet46264 Ffileeb10245/1073/200707Pa@ee015of5-

4. In light of the fact that the Court has not yet ruled on Defendants' motions to dismiss, the parties have agreed and hereby stipulate (subject to the Court's order allowing them to do so) that Plaintiffs be granted a 60-day extension of time, until June 26, 2007, to file their motion for class certification. In addition, the parties have agreed that the remaining dates and deadlines established by CMO No. 2 shall likewise be extended by approximately 60 days. Specifically, the parties stipulate to the following amendments to CMO No. 2:

		CMO No. 2	Amendment
Motion For Class Certification and Expert Reports in Support of Class Certification	Plaintiffs file motion and any expert reports:	April 27, 2007	June. 26, 2007
	Deadline for Defendants to depose Plaintiffs' experts, respond to motion, and file their expert reports:	July 27, 2007	Sept. 25, 2007
	Deadline for Plaintiffs to depose Defendants' experts and file reply:	Aug. 24, 2007	Oct. 23, 2007
	Hearing:	Sept. 14, 2007	November 16, 2007 TBD by Court
Motion For Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment	Opening Motion and supporting expert reports:	Oct. 12, 2007	Dec. 11, 2007
Regarding Issues in Paragraphs 3(b) through 3(d) of CMO No. 2 and Expert Reports in Support of Summary Judgment	Cross Motion and Opposition Motion and supporting expert reports:	Nov. 21, 2007	Jan. 18, 2008
	Opposition to Cross Motion (and supporting expert reports) and Reply in Support of Opening Motion:	Dec. 21, 2007	Feb. 15, 2008
	Reply in Support of Cross Motion:	Jan. 25, 2008	Mar. 21, 2008

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

		CMO No. 2	Amendment
	Hearing (If No Cross Motions Filed):	Jan. 11, 2008	TBD by Court March 21, 2008
	Hearing (If Cross Motions Filed):	Feb. 15, 2008	TBD by Court April 11, 2008
Completion of Discovery Relating to	Paragraph 3(a):	July 13, 2007	Sept. 11, 2007
Specific Issues in CMO No. 2	Paragraphs 3(b)-(d):	Sept. 28, 2007	Nov. 16, 2007

- 5. Nothing in this Stipulation alters or modifies any provision in CMO No. 2 except the deadlines appearing in the table above.
- 6. This stipulation for an extension of time has been brought in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay or harassment, and conforms with the requirements of Local Rules 6-2, 7-12, and 16-2(d), and Federal Rule 16(b), which requires "a showing of good cause" for the modification of a scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).
- Pursuant to Local Rule 16-2(d), and with the consent of counsel for Direct 7. Purchaser Plaintiff, counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs, Edward A. Wallace, consulted with counsel for Defendants, M. Sean Royall, who has agreed to this stipulation

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their designated counsel that the Plaintiffs shall have until June 26, 2007 to file their motions for class certification in this matter and that all deadlines contained in the Stipulated Case Management Order No. 2 be extended by the approximately 60 days, as specified in paragraph 4 above.

STIPULATED AND AGREED TO BY:

DATED: April 17, 2007 23

Edward A. Wallace WEXLER TORISEVA WALLACE LLP

One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60602

Telephone: (312) 346-2222

Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

27

28

1	Cascalode 02696/+101 d76 117 6\$\W SWDobbournemetr60264 Filleed 0245/107320007Pa@Pa@eo4f5of5
1	
1 2	Timothy J. Becker ZIMMERMAN REED, P.L.L.P 651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501
3	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 341-0400
4	Facsimile: (612) 341-0844
5	Jason J. Thompson J. Thompson & Associates PLC
6	26000 West Twelve Mile Road Southfield, MI 48034
7	Telephone: (248) 436-8448 Facsimile: (248) 436-8453
8	Jeffrey L. Kodroff
9	SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
10	Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300
11	Facsimile: (215) 496-6611
12	Attorneys for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs
13	DATED: April 13, 2007
14	/s/
15	Thomas M. Sobol HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRIO, LLP
16	One Main Street, 4th Floor Cambridge, MA 02142
17	Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003
18	Dianne M. Nast
19	RODANAST, PC 801 Estelle Drive
20	Lancaster, PA 17601 Telephone: (717) 892-3000 Fooding to (717) 893-1300
21	Facsimile: (717) 892-1200 Daniel Gustafson
22	GUSTAFSON & GLUEK, P.L.L.P. 650 Northstar East
23	608 Second Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55402
24	Telephone: (612) 333-8844 Facsimile: (612) 339-6622
25	1 acsimile. (012) 339-0022
26	Attorneys for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs
27	
28	
	-4- STIPULATION AND IPROPOSED ORDER AMENDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 TO

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 TO EXTEND CASE SCHEDULE

MDL No. 1761 (JSW)

1	DATED: April 17, 2007
2	M. Sean Royall (admitted pro hac vice)
3	Monique Michal Drake, SBN 167188 Michael A. Sitzman, SBN 156667
4	GIBSON DUNN & CTRUTCHER LLP One Montgomery Street, Suite 3100
5	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 393-8200
6	Facsimile: (415) 986-5309 Email: <u>sroyall@gibsondunn.com</u>
7	Email: <u>mdrake@gibsondunn.com</u> Email: <u>msitzman@gibsondunn.com</u>
8	Attorneys for Defendants Alza Corporation and Johnson & Johnson
9	
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	$O_{\rm add}$
12	Dated: May .3 The Hoperald Jeffrey S. White
13	The Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States District Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-5-
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 TO EXTEND CASE SCHEDULE MDL No. 1761 (JSW)